



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. US 1358		
US 1358		
EXAMINER		
INOA, MIDYS		
T PAPER NUMBER		
4		
02/26/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



				A	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	-0	
		10/034,437 MO		S.	
•	. Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Midys Inoa	2188		
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address	;	
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reg y within the statutory minimum of thirty vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT. , cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this commun NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.	
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 M	arch 2003.			
·		action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the mer	its is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-56 is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
. 5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-56</u> is/are rejected.				
	•				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers	·			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 <i>December 2001</i></u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-15	2.	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priorical action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priorical action for a list of the certified copies of t	s have been received. s have been received in Ap rity documents have been r u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	е	
Attachmen	• •	_			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date		
3) 🔲 Infori	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 2188

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings received on December 27th, 2001 have been accepted by the Examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawai (2001/0011355 A1) in view of Computer Networks by Larry L. Peterson and Bruce S. Davie.

Regarding Claims 1, 15, 29, and 43, Kawai teaches a memory in a battery unit (battery memory 9, figure 1) on an information handling device (information terminal) where a predetermined data word (password) is assigned to an available address in memory (password stored in battery memory, page 1, Paragraph 11), data is received in a non-programmable section of memory (reading first and second password, Page 1, Paragraph 13) and a programmable section of the memory is modified if the received data complies with the predetermined data word (comparing passwords and providing power to complete an access on main memory if the passwords match, pages 2-3, Paragraph 37-39). Kawai does not teach performing a checksum of the registers in the memory. Davie et al. teaches performing a checksum for error detection and confirmation purposes (Page 92-93). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to integrate the checksum of Davie et al. to the system of Kawai since such addition would make the system more secure and would allow for less errors

Application/Control Number: 10/034,437

Art Unit: 2188

and more data protection. Figure 1 of Kawai teaches that the information handling device of the invention is enclosed within a processor.

Regarding Claims 2, 16, 30, and 44 Kawai teaches a communication section 8 within the information handling device (information terminal), which controls the communication between the battery unit 9 and other components. Since the communication section acts as a communication controller, it is understood that in enabling the reception of password data it multiplexes communication control signals along with the passwords that must be verified (Page 2, Paragraphs 33-35).

Regarding Claims 3-4, 17-18, 31-32, and 45-46, in performing a password comparison and adding the checksum function of Davie et al., the invention of Kawai in view of Davie et al. teaches performing security measures prior to modifying the programmable section of the memory (prior to providing power for a memory load or access, see Pages 2-3, Paragraphs 37-39 and Page 92-93)

Regarding Claims 5-7, 19-21, 33-35, and 47-49, Kawai teaches a communication section 8 within the information handling device (information terminal), which controls the communication between the battery unit 9 and other components. Since the communication section acts as a communication controller and controls the sending of data within the information terminal, communication section 8 can be considered to be a control hub (see Page 2, Paragraphs 33-35).

Regarding Claims 8-14, 22-28, 36-42, and 50-56, the buses of Kawai, shown on Figure 1 as communication paths, can be considered to be system management buses since they enable the exchange of data for system management purposes.

Art Unit: 2188

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Midys Inoa whose telephone number is (703) 305-7850. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mano Padmanabhan can be reached on (703) 306-2903. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Midys Itioa Examiner

MI

Mano Redmandh 2/23/04 MANO PADMANABHAN SUPERVICORY PATENT EXAMINER TC2100